

# Dealing With Failure in Pediatric Sports Surgery - Strategies for Revision

Dr. Nirav K. Pandya Associate Professor, UCSF Chief, Pediatric Orthopedic Surgery, UCSF Twitter: @drniravpandya Nirav.Pandya@ucsf.edu





# I have no conflicts of interest to disclose







GVE. TRAIN. PI

# Objectives

- Discuss the potential etiologies of ACL graft failure in the immature patient
- Presents a staged approach to management of revision surgery





MGVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

#### Surgical Dilemma

#### Balance the Risk of Growth Disturbance Versus Anatomic Reconstruction







**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

#### Complications After Pediatric ACL Reconstruction: A Meta-Analysis

Stephanie E. Wong, MD, Brian T. Feeley, MD, and Nirav K. Pandya, MD

**Results:** In total, 45 studies were included with 1321 patients and 1392 knees. The average age was 13.0 years, 67% were male, and mean follow-up was 49.6 months. There were 115 (8.7%) reruptures in the initial 160 studies reviewed. In total, 94.6% of patients with rerupture required revision ACL surgery. There were 58 total growth disturbances (16 required corrective surgery, or 27.6%). Eighteen knees (3.7%) developed angular deformity, most commonly valgus. There were 37 patients (7.5%) had at least a 1 cm limb-length discrepancy. A total of 23 studies reported International Knee Documentation Committee scores (range, 81 to 100, 88% grade A or B). In total, 20 studies reported excellent Lysholm scores with mean scores of 94.6.

**Conclusions:** Growth disturbance can occur with any of the reconstruction techniques. Proper surgical technique is likely more important than the specific reconstruction technique utilized. Patients with rerupture require surgery at much higher rates than those with growth disturbance. Although much attention has been focused on growth disturbance, we suggest that equal attention be given to the prevention of rerupture in this age group.

- 1392 ACL reconstructions
- <u>8.7% re-tear rate of which 94.6 %</u> <u>needed revision</u>
- <u>4.1% growth disturbance rate of</u> which <u>27.6 % needed correction</u>
- Preventing re-tear is important!
  - Best treatment is to not re-tear!



MOVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

#### This Family Is In Your Clinic: What Do You Do??











MGVE. TRAIN. PLAY

#### This Family Is In Your Clinic: What Do You Do??

#### Recognize this a traumatic injury that has severe social-emotional consequences for the patient and family





#### Assess Obvious Reasons For Failure

- Non-compliance with protocol
- Non-adherence to home exercises

# Delay reconstruction until family or patient mature





MOVE. TRAIN. PLAY.





40VE. TRAIN. PLA

## Time for Revision: What To Do?

• Assess and correct angular deformity (valgus) that needs to be corrected first and/or at the same time





# Growth Disturbance Review

Author	Year	Growth Disturbance	<b>Re-rupture</b>
Higuchi et al.	2009	MRI narrowing in 8, frank closure in 2	0
Chotel et al.	2010	One with LLD 1.5cm, one with valgus deformity and 1cm LLD	0
Liddle et al.	2008	One with valgus deformity	1
McIntosh et al.	2006	One with LLD of 1.5cm	2
Koman et al.	1999	One with valgus deformity	0
Andrews et al.	1994	Two patients > 10 mm LLD	1
Lipscomb et al.	1986	One LLD 1.3cm, one LLD 2cm	2
Lemaitre et al.	2014	Two with valgus deformity	0
Kohl et al.	2014	One with valgus deformity	0
Kumar et al.	2013	One with valgus deformity	1
Lawrence et al.	2011	One with valgus deformity after revision ACL	1
Robert et al.	2010	One with valgus deformity	0
Zimmerman et al.	2015	One with LLD of 2.8cm	0
Rozbruch et al.	2013	One with varus, recurvatum, and LLD of 4.5 cm	0
Henry, et al.	2009	One with valgus deformity	0
Mauch et al.	2011	One with valgus-flexion deformity	0
Nathan et al.	2013	One with LLD of 2.7cm	0
Shifflett et al.	2016	Two with recurvatum, two with valgus deformity	0

Table 1. Individual Studies

- More likely to have valgus than LLD
- LLD is more likely overgrowth
- Recurvatum with tibial tubercle violation
- Patients with 2-4 years of growth remaining more likely to have disturbance than > 4 years
  - Physis 'older'
  - Less chance to correct



MGVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

#### *Time for Revision: What To Do?*

• Possible correction of posterior tibial slope



Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy

October 2015, Volume 23, <u>Issue 10</u>, pp 2846–2852 | <u>Cite as</u>

Tibial slope correction combined with second revision ACL produces good knee stability and prevents graft rupture

Authors

Authors and affiliations

David Dejour, Mo Saffarini 🖂 , Guillaume Demey, Laurent Baverel





MGVE. TRAIN. PLAY.



MOVE. TRAIN. PI



# *Time For Revision: Assess Surgical Technique*

- Assess Tunnel Position
  - <u>Non-anatomic</u>
    - Typically non-traumatic with chronic graft failure
    - Bucket handle medial meniscus with no lateral joint bone bruising
    - Assess if you can revise and not disrupt growth
  - <u>Anatomic (re-use)</u>
    - Assess for widening

Determine if you need to bone graft and stage!!



MGVE. TRAIN. PL*i* 

## *Time For Revision: Assess Surgical Technique*



Determine if you need to bone graft and stage!!



## *Time For Revision: Assess Other Pathology*

- Missed PLC injury
- Missed MCL injury
- Meniscus Tear preserve (transplant)
  OCD injury aggressively treat with OATS



А

B





#### Time For Revision: Graft Choice

If skeletally immature:

- Hamstring (contralateral)
  - Quad tendon





MGVE. TRAIN. FLAY.

#### Time For Revision: Graft Choice

If skeletally mature:

- Hamstring (contralateral)
  - Quad tendon
    - BTB





MGVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

#### Time For Revision: Graft Choice





#### Time For Revision: Graft Choice

#### Mechanical and Microstructural Properties of Pediatric Anterior Cruciate Ligaments and Autograft Tendons Used for Reconstruction

Elaine C. Schmidt,\* MS, Matthew Chin,\* BS, Julien T. Aoyama,<sup>†</sup> BA, Theodore J. Ganley,<sup>†</sup> MD, Kevin G. Shea,<sup>‡</sup> MD, and Michael W. Hast,<sup>\*§</sup> PhD

Investigation performed at the Biedermann Laboratory for Orthopaedic Research, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Methods: ACLs, patellar tendons, quadriceps tendons, semitendinosus tendons, and iliotibial bands (ITBs) were harvested from 5 fresh-frozen pediatric knee specimens (3 male, 2 female) and subjected to a tensile loading protocol. A subset of contralateral tissues was analyzed using bright-field, polarized light, and transmission electron microscopy.

**Results:** Patellar tendons exhibited values for ultimate stress (5.2  $\pm$  3.1 MPa), ultimate strain (35.3%  $\pm$  12.5%), and the Young modulus (27.0  $\pm$  8.8 MPa) that were most similar to the ACLs (5.2  $\pm$  2.2 MPa, 31.4%  $\pm$  9.9%, and 23.6  $\pm$  15.5 MPa, respectively). Semitendinosus tendons and TPs were stronger but less compliant than the quadriceps or patellar tendons. ITBs exhibited crimp wavelengths (27.0  $\pm$  2.9  $\mu$ m) and collagen fibril diameters (67.5  $\pm$  19.5 nm) that were most similar to the ACLs (24.4  $\pm$  3.2  $\mu$ m and 65.3  $\pm$  19.9 nm, respectively).

Conclusion: The mechanical properties of the patellar tendon were almost identical to those of the ACL. The ITB exhibited increased strength and a similar microstructure to the native ACL. These findings are not entirely congruent with studies examining adult tissues.



16VE. TRAIN. PLAY.

#### Time For Revision: Graft Choice

#### Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction in Pediatric and Adolescent Patients Using Quadriceps Tendon Autograft

Albright, Jay MD; Lepon, Ariel Kiyomi BA; Mayer, Stephanie MD

Sports Medicine and Arthroscopy Review: December 2016 - Volume 24 - Issue 4 - p 159–169 doi: 10.1097/JSA.000000000000128 Review Articles





MGVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

## *Time For Revision: ALL / IT Band Tenodesis*

- If ligamentously lax, maybe at primary
  - Definitely with all revision cases





MGVE. TRAIN. PLA

## *Time For Revision: ALL / IT Band Tenodesis*

Systematic Review

#### Biomechanical Results of Lateral Extra-articular Tenodesis Procedures of the Knee: A Systematic Review

Erik L. Slette, B.A., Jacob D. Mikula, B.S., Jason M. Schon, B.S., Daniel C. Marchetti, B.A., Matthew M. Kheir, B.S., Travis Lee Turnbull, Ph.D., and Robert F. LaPrade, M.D., Ph.D.



Maximizes anterior tibial translation and <u>rotatory</u> <u>stability</u>



10VE. TRAIN. PLA

# *Time For Revision: ALL / IT Band Tenodesis*

Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics. Publish Ahead of Print():, OCT 2017 DOI: 10.1097/BPO.0000000000001078, PMID: 29064870 Issn Print: 0271-6798 Publication Date: 2017/10/01



#### Biomechanical Evaluation of Pediatric Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) Reconstruction Techniques With and Without the Anterolateral Ligament (ALL)

Natasha Trentacosta;James Pace;Melodie Metzger;Max Michalski;Trevor Nelson;Landon Polakof;Bert Mandelbaum;

ALL deficiency in the knee increased anterior displacement and rotational moments in the ACLdeficient state



MOVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

#### *Time For Revision: ALL / IT Band Tenodesis*

#### Anterolateral Ligament of the Knee Shows Variable Anatomy in Pediatric Specimens

Kevin G. Shea MD, Matthew D. Milewski MD, Peter C. Cannamela BS, Theodore J. Ganley MD, Peter D. Fabricant MD, Elizabeth B. Terhune BS, Alexandra C. Styhl BA, Allen F. Anderson MD, John D. Polousky MD







MOVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

### *Time For Revision: Find Out What Equipment Used*

#### Don't depend on old operative notes!





MGVE. TRAIN. PL.

### Post-Op Considerations: Optimizing Revision Success

• Extended Return to Play Timeline (12 - 18 months)





> Post-Op Considerations: Optimizing Success

TRAIN

• Change sport

The American Journal of Sports Medicine

Revision Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction Outcomes in Younger Patients: Medial Meniscal Pathology and High Rates of Return to Sport Are Associated With Third ACL Injuries

Kate E. Webster, PhD\*, Julian A. Feller, FRACS, Alexander J. Kimp, DPT, more...

Show all authors ~

Younger patients are at significant risk of having multiple ACL injuries. The high rate of third ACL injuries presents a significant issue for future knee health in these young athletes. Medial meniscal pathology <u>and</u> <u>returning to high-risk sport</u> are factors that are significantly associated with the high multiple ACL injury rate in the young



MOVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

Post-Op Considerations: Optimizing Success

• Address modifiable risk factors





MGVE. TR<u>ain. play.</u>

## Post-Op Considerations: Optimizing Success

• Address modifiable risk factors







16VE. TRAIN. PLA'

#### Limited Data on How Patients Do

#### **Revision ACL Reconstruction in Children and Adolescents**

Christino, Melissa A., MD<sup>\*</sup>; Tepolt, Frances A., MD<sup>†</sup>; Sugimoto, Dai, PhD<sup>†,‡</sup>; Micheli, Lyle J., MD<sup>†,‡,§</sup>; Kocher, Mininder S., MD, MPH<sup>†,‡,§</sup>

Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics: March 05, 2018 - Volume Publish Ahead of Print - Issue - p

- 90 revision ACL reconstructions
- 20% graft re-tear rate
- 25.5% subsequent surgery
- Pedi-IKDC 79.9, Lysol 84.5, and Tegner 9.0
- 69% returned to sports
- 55.2% returned to the same level of play.



GVE. TRAIN. PLA

#### Limited Data on How Patients Do

#### Outcomes and Return to Sport After Revision Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction in Adolescent Athletes

Michael Saper,\*<sup>†</sup> DO, ATC, CSCS, Stephanie Pearce,<sup>‡</sup> MD, Joseph Shung,<sup>‡</sup> MD, Robert Zondervan,<sup>§</sup> BS, Roger Ostrander,<sup>||</sup> MD, and James R. Andrews,<sup>||</sup> MD Investigation performed at the Andrews Institute for Orthopaedics & Sports Medicine, Gulf Breeze, Florida, USA

- 21 revision ACL reconstructions
- 14% graft re-tear rate
- 25.5% subsequent surgery
- IKDC 87.5, Tegner 7.2, Lysholm 93.7
- 68.4% returned to sports



#### Summary

- 1. Prep patients for high failure rate
- Failure rate > operative growth disturbance rate
   Late middle school / early high school group most susceptible
  - 4. Post-op high-risk sporting activity is large factor
    - 5. Assess reasons for failure extensively
    - 6. If patient non-compliant, wait on revision
- 7. Correct growth disturbance / anatomy (valgus / tibial slope)
  - 8. Assess tunnels (anatomic vs. non-anatomic)
    - 9. Bone graft and stage if necessary
    - 10. Choose graft based on skeletal maturity
      - 11. Add ALL/IT band procedures
- 12. Post-op optimize success (delay RTS, change sports, biomechanics)



MOVE. TRAIN. PLAY.

# Thank You